FACULTY SENATE MINUTES

November 2, 2017

Call to order: A regular meeting of the Faculty Senate of the University of North Alabama was held in room 330 of the University Commons on November 2, 2017. The meeting convened at 3:30pm. with President Dan Hallock presiding.

Proxies: President Hallock recognized the following proxies: Sandra Loew for Karen Townsend (Department of Counselor Education), Andrew Potter for Jason Watson (Department of Computer Science and Information Science), Chris Purser for Leah Graham (Department of Politics, Justice, and Law), Lee Raney for Jessica Stovall (Mathematics), Aaron Benson for Suzanne Duvall-Zurinsky (Art), and Alejandra Alvarado-Brizuela for Scott Infanger (Foreign Languages).

Members in attendance: Rae Antencio, David Brommer, Amanda Coffman, Katherine Crisler, Wes Davenport, Nikita Duke, Mark Greer, Dan Hallock, Felecia Harris, Keith Jones, Ansley Quiros, John McGee, Rachel McKelvey, Janet McMullen, Prema Monteiro, Michelle Nelson, Katie Owens-Murphy, Jeffrey Ray, Alaina Reid, Lee Renfroe, Craig Robertson, Patricia Roden, Leigh Stanfield, Richard Statom, Daniel Stevens, Alexander Takeuchi, Tammy Winner, Rachel Winston and Ryan Zayac. President Kitts and Vice President Alexander were also in attendance.

Members not in attendance (without proxy): Glenn Marvin (Biology), Lisa Clayton (Elementary Education), Ian Loeppky (Music), Sarah Franklin (History), David Ruebhausen (Entertainment Industry/Theatre), and Pete Williams (Economics and Finance)

Approval of agenda: Senator Statom moved approval of the agenda. Senator Roden seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Approval of minutes: Senator Statom moved approval of the minutes of the October 5, 2017 meeting. Senator Zayac seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Remarks from President Kitts: President Kitts began by commenting on his recent work to prefile a bill to the Alabama State Legislature seeking to remove the State Superintendent of Education from the UNA Board of Trustees and subsequently fill that position with an at-large trustee. If the bill is approved, the President intends to work with Board officers to suggest appointment of a new trustee who would add greater diversity (expressed in terms of gender, race, ethnicity, and geography) to the Board. Final authority for this appointment rests with the Governor.

President Kitts commented on UNA being awarded five million dollars in "one-time" SEDA funds as the University has been recognized as an important agent in promoting work-force training and economic development. Nursing, Engineering Technology (with an emphasis placed on chemical engineering), and Computer Science will be the primary parties involved in developing initiative consistent with the award.

The President then commented on another initiative he is working on with the UNA Board of Trustees to propose, for January, 2018, a plan to advance UNA's relationships with Alabama State Legislators. Currently, UNA has "less friends"/"less graduates" in the State Legislature compared to other schools. The "208 plan" is so named given UNA geographic distance from Montgomery.

Faculty Senate Minutes – November 2017

Page 1

In closing, President Kitts mentioned that Dr. Ross Alexander and Mr. Evan Thornton will conduct an assessment of buildings on campus to determine usage patterns, space utilization practices and additional needs of cost centers.

Remarks from Vice-President Alexander: Dr. Alexander began his remarks by focusing on the recently completed internal survey of Academic Deans and Department Chairs that was conducted through use of Qualtrics. Overall response rates on the Dean's survey was above 50% though some Colleges were substantially higher (e.g., the College of Business response rate was over 85%, the College of Arts and Sciences response rate was approximately 50%, the Anderson College of Nursing response rate was over 80%, and the College of Education and Human Sciences response rate was over 75%). The overall response on the Chair's survey was also close to 50%. These surveys will be administered every two years.

Dr. Alexander mentioned that there will be an increase to \$1,600.00 (from \$800.00) for student study-abroad scholarships. It is his priority to emphasize study-abroad initiatives for students. The next phase he wishes to initiative will focus on experiential experiences via a "study-away" or "domestic-experiential" initiative.

Presentation by Bliss Adkison (Office of Institutional Research, Planning and Assessment) to discuss the UNA's movement toward an on-line based system of course/instructor evaluation.

Ms. Adkison discussed use of IASystem corporation's method for conducting web-based course evaluations. Essentially, the company provides portals for administrators and faculty to administer the current university course evaluation system while allowing modifications specific to cost-center needs. This system should afford quicker turnaround of data, more statistical analysis and increased response rates. A single-sign on system would be in place for students and faculty and the course evaluations would be UNA branded. It was discussed that use of IASystem would reduce, overall, the financial costs associated with the current course evaluation system.

Questions were presented by Senators related to whether students could take an evaluation multiple times, IASystems' compliance with ADA, the content of reports, and whether students who dropped out of a course could take the evaluation. Ms. Adkison confirmed that these would not be pertinent issues given the plan to use IASystem.

Reports:

Academic Affairs Committee: no report was given.

Faculty Affairs Committee: no report was given.

Faculty Attitude Survey Committee: Dr. Chris Purser presented a brief discussion of the current status of the next Faculty Attitude Survey to administered Spring, 2018. In addition he discussed prior year trends in response rates.

Data presented by Dr. Purser

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Overall	70	47	46	69.4	60.8
Full				82.1	68.7
Associate				77.8	83.9
Assistant				79.5	66.2
Instructor				66.7	70
Adjunct				47.4	40.7

Unfinished Business:

Final Approval of the Revised Minutes from the September 7th, 2017 Faculty Senate Meeting

Minutes from Sept. 7, 17 meeting found to be in error.

Revision to Appendix 2F of the Faculty Handbook – Application for Promotion and/or Tenure.

Senator Zayac moved to approve the proposed revision to Section 2.5.4 of the Faculty Handbook involving Renewal or Termination of a Probationary Appointment. Senator Graham seconded the motion. The motion passed. (see Attachment E.)

Revised Minutes from Sept. 7, 17 meeting addressing the error.

Revision to Appendix 2F of the Faculty Handbook – Application for Promotion and/or Tenure.

Senator Zayac moved to approve the proposed revision to Appendix 2F of the Faculty Handbook – Application for Promotion and/or Tenure to align the application form to the policy under which a faculty member applies for promotion and/or tenure. Senator Graham seconded the motion. The motion passed. (see Attachment E.)

After reviewing the revised minutes, Senator Satom moved to approved the Sept. 7th minutes. Dr. Alejandra Alvarado-Brizuela seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

New Business:

Revision of Appendix 2G of the Faculty Handbook-Promotion and/or Tenure Evaluation Form

Senator Zayac moved to approve the proposal to revise Appendix 2G of the Faculty Handbook-Promotion and/or Tenure Evaluation Form to eliminate criteria at the bottom of the form as that language was not applicable to both policies. Senator Statom seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. (See Attachment A)

Revision to Section 3.15 of the Faculty Handbook-Faculty Evaluation

Senator Statom moved to approve the proposal to revise Section 3.15 of the Faculty Handbook-Faculty Evaluation to add language confirming that student evaluations of courses would be transitioning to online administration. Senator Zayac seconded the motion.

There was some discussion seeking to clarify when the online administration would begin. A pilot will be conducted Spring 2018 involving MBA courses with the University seeking to implement online administration Summer 2018 or, at the latest, Fall 2018.

The motions passed unanimously. (See Attachment B)

Information Items:

There were no information items.

Adjourn:

Senator Statom made a motion to adjourn. Dr. Alejandra Alvarado-Brizuela seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned at 4:15pm.



MEMORANDUM

To:	Dr. Lee G. Renfroe, Chair Shared Governance Executive Committee
From:	Dr. Ross C. Alexander, Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost
Date:	October 16, 2017

Enclosed with this memorandum is a proposal from the Council of Academic Deans for revisions to Appendix 2G of the Faculty Handbook – Promotion and/or Tenure Evaluation Form. With the recent approval by Faculty Senate to consolidate the two evaluation forms into one, it has been pointed out that the criteria at the bottom of the form should be eliminated since that language only covers the new policy but the evaluation form encompasses both policies. Additionally, an area for other comments is recommended. This proposal is provided for consideration by the Shared Governance Executive Committee.

Thank you.

rv Enclosure

2.

OFFICE of the VICE PRESIDENT for ACADEMIC AFFAIRS and PROVOST UNA Box 5041, Florence, AL 35632-0001 Pr 256.765.4258 | Fr 256.765.4632 | www.una.edu Egul Opportunity /Egul Access Institution

APPENDIX 2.G PROMOTION AND/OR TENURE EVALUATION FORM

Candidate Name:	Click or top here to enter text.				
Recommending Body:	Choose an item.				
Level of Recommendation:	Choose an item.				
Overall Ranking	Choose an item.				
Technology Faculty	ffectiveness in Role as Library or Educationa	I Choose an item			
Effectiveness in Research, S	cholarship, and Other Creative Activities	Choicse an item.			
Comments: Click or to	ap here to enter text				
Effectiveness in Rendering Service Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.		Charlier an item.			
Other Comments					
Comments: Click or ta	ap here to enter text.				
In support of fails mission as refle Strengthere in Teacher 2.5.3 of Facility Handbo Effectiveness in Researce	g promotion and or tenure are expected to demonstra eted in accomplishments specific to the order to belo in Floctoverses in Role as Library or Educational Tr ok) in Scholarship, and Other Creative Activities (see a	w, exhnutury: Faculty (see section			
Handpook)	ing Service (see section 2.5.4 of Faculty Handbook)				
	for Promotion, Tenure, and Appointment				
The University understa curren develops. It is the responsi- guidalines for faculty professions departmental expectations are for through guidance provided by the	nds that the interests and areas of emphasis for fourth stilly of departments, in cooperation with their respe I growth that (1) adoquately define for each fourty of promotion and on tomer, and year-to year-successor department chair to the faculty monitor during the opponeidedity of the deems and Vice President for Ac	ctive doms to develop nember with histor mb(7) we implemented			
assure of statements for the m	iment, promotion to this cank, and or tenero requires ppropriate in the field of assignment as determined b ave had successful experience in teaching, research, a	e micercrensliceIn			
Demonstrant to the Control of the					

Promotion to documente Profession - Faculty well be required to be evaluated for promotion and or renure no fater

3,

than the sixth year of service as an Assistant Professor at UNA. Faculty employment contracts may, upon approval by the deam and VPAA, include credit for up to three years of service at the assistant professor level or higher a other institutions toward the six years of service. The order given must be determined at the time of hiring and included in the employment letter. The relevant documentation of years of penhanonary service will be maintained by the Office of the Vice Presidem for Academic Alfans and Provest one may-be used in lieu of offer fatters written prior to be 2017-18 academic year that do not include this information. An Assistant Professor must serve a minimum of two years at UNA prior to the review of the promotion and/or tensife application in the third academic year of employmence UNA.

2. <u>Professor</u>. Appointment, promotion to this rank, author tenure, requires nassession of a doctoral degree or terminal degree appropriate or the field of assignment in determined by university policy. In addition, a minimum of 12 years' appropriate cumulative experience specific to the discipline is also required, at least six of which must be in rank as associate professor of first two for new three bearanne (all 2012, promotion to this rank requires that three of the welve years of cumulative experience chail be carned at UNA. In addition, the applicant shall have established associated and consistent record record of evolution in teaching; research, scholarship, or other creative notivities, and service.

Promotion to Professor: Facelty will be eligible to be evaluated for promotion no earlier than the sixth year of service as an Associate Professor at UNA. Faculty employment contracts may, upon approval by the deam and Vice President of Academic Atlains and Provent, include credit for up to three years of service at the associate professor level or include an other mattering toward the six years of service. The redit given must be determined at the time of includes in the employment letter. The relevant documentation of years of professor and like the mantaneous by the Office of the Vice President for Academic Atlains and Provest and may be used in like of other letters written prior to be Vice President for Academic Atlains and Provest and may be used in like of other letters written prior to be 2017-18 condemic year that do not include this information. An Associate Professor must serve a minimum of two years at UNA prior to the review of the promotion opplication in the third academic year of services at UNA.

Ferrare for Full-Professors Faculty apparted as full professors will apply for tenure attac completing at least two years of service as UNA.

b) Department Chains Applying for Promotion - The administrative effectiveness of the department chain will be evolvated within the category of university and community service.



MEMORANDUM

To: Dr. Lee G. Renfroe, Chair Shared Governance Executive Committee

From: Dr. Ross C. Alexander, Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost

Date: October 16, 2017

Enclosed with this memorandum is a proposal from the Council of Academic Deans for revisions to Section 3.15 of the Faculty Handbook – Faculty Evaluation. This proposal, which will add an online only component to the course evaluation process, is provided for consideration by the Shared Governance Executive Committee.

Thank you.

rv Enclosure

5,

OFFICE of the VICE PRESIDENT for ACADEMIC AFFAIRS and PROVOST UNA Box 5041, Florence, AL 35632-0001 P: 256.765.4258 | F: 256.765.4632 | www.una.edu Equel Opportunity /Equal Access Instanton

3.15 FACULTY EVALUATION

The purpose of the Faculty Evaluation Program is to provide for a valid and reliable assessment of faculty performance based on designated areas of engagement approved by the University. Toward that end, all faculty members are expected to demonstrate continuous involvement and effectiveness in the areas of. (1) teaching/professional effectiveness; (2) research, scholarship, and/or creative activities related to the faculty member's discipline and/or professional responsibilities; and (3) service performed on behalf of and/or in affiliation with the University, professional association, or as a civic or social service in the local community. Evaluation information (e.g., vita, faculty performance report, student evaluation rating summaries, and performance evaluation) shall be stored/maintaincd/archived in the online database that UNA has established for this purpose. Person(s) responsible for data entry of faculty information will be determined by department. It is the faculty member's responsibility to ensure accuracy of data entered.

3.15.1 Components of the Program

Updated Curriculum Vitae. The vitae shall contain detailed background and professional achievement data – educational background, degrees, teaching and other professional experience, scholarly and creative activities, service to the department, university, and community – and any information deemed relevant to the department or faculty member. The vitae shall be updated yearly by May 15.

Faculty Performance Report. Each full-time faculty member will establish professional goals for the upcoming year. The faculty member will contact the department chair to establish a meeting date prior to May 15 in order to discuss professional goals for the coming year (See Appendix 3.D). During the conference, the faculty member and department chair shall come to a consensus on the following year's goals. If the faculty member was employed the previous year, he/she will also complete and submit electronically on this form (Appendix 3.D) a statement of accomplishments relating to the prior year's goals. The faculty member and the department chair will, during the meeting, discuss the specific goals and the improvements made which the faculty member has documented. This form will be transmitted electronically to the appropriate academic dean for review.

<u>Student Rating</u>. Student rating of faculty will be used university-wide (except Kilby School and university libraries/educational technologies) to collect information about students' perceptions of courses and faculty. Departments may add items to the campus form (See Appendix 3.D). Student evaluations will be administered <u>online by the Office of Institutional Research, Planning, and Assessment (OIRPA)</u> every semester in each class section enrolling five or more students. Student comments should be collected and given to the faculty member in a format to ensure anonymity. Departments may use alternatives to the campus form in laboratorics, studio courses, and other courses

3-2

6.

taught in non-lecture format. The faculty-member will announce to the class in advance that the rating forms will be administered. The professor will read the following statement to the class: "The evaluation you are about to complete is intended for constructive feedback. After your final grades in this course have been submitted, your tabulated responses will be seen by the instructor of the course and the chair of the department or dean. It is important for you to realize that you have a responsibility to be fair and honest. Since the purpose of the evaluation is improvement, if you are going to be critical. Iry to document your criticism in your responses in such a way that the instructor can benefit and improve his her teaching of this course. Be as responsible in completing this form as you would be if you were going to sign it. The instructor of this course will not see the results of these forms until the semester is over and the final grades have been submitted. A blank-sheet of paper is provided should you wish to make comments." The faculty member should give the envelope with the blank forms and instructions to the student proctor, who is to be chosen from the class by the faculty member. The faculty member will leave the classroom. The faculty member will allow students ample time to complete the form. As students finish the questionnaires, they will place their evaluation responses in the envelope so marked. When everyone has put his her form in the proper envelope, the student proctor will seal the envelope and take it to the office of the department chair. The departmental administrative assistant will collect all sealed envelopes and forward them to the Office of Institutional Research. Planning, and Assessment (OIRPA) for processing. The OIRPA will process the formsonline evaluation in a timely fashion and forward results to the department chair. The summary of the ratings shall be shared with the faculty member.

Performance Evaluations. Using the faculty member's updated curriculum vitae, Faculty Performance Report, student ratings, and other appropriate information, department chairs will provide each faculty member a written performance evaluation on the following schedule: by September 15 every year for nontenured faculty and every two years for tenured faculty. Performance evaluations may be provided more frequently at the discretion of the department chair or upon request by the faculty member or the dean of the college. The evaluation will be signed by the department chair, dean, and the faculty member. The faculty member has the option of submitting a written response to the department chair by September 30.

For department chairs, performance evaluations will be conducted in accordance with the above process and scheduled by the dean of the appropriate college and will include evaluation of administrative performance as well as the elements specified above. Deans are expected to consult department faculty and staff in conducting evaluations of the chair.

3.15.2 Use of the Results of the Program

The Faculty Evaluation Program is an integral component of the University's institutional effectiveness program. Departments will use information collected through the Faculty Evaluation Program in their departmental and academic program reviews

3-3